IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

YUK FUN JOANNE BEDFORD, et al.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 4:24-cv-00084-O-BP
	§	
FOO TIANG MENG DIRK ROBERT,	§	
Individually and as Trustee of the Hills of	§	
Windridge Trust,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Notice of Dismissal (ECF No. 15), notifying the Court of Plaintiffs' dismissal of the case without prejudice. United States District Judge Reed O'Connor referred the case to the undersigned for pretrial management on January 30, 2024. ECF No. 3.

An action may be dismissed by a plaintiff without order of the court by filing a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i). The right to voluntarily dismiss an action before the filing of an answer or summary judgment motion is "absolute and unconditional" and may not be "extinguished or circumscribed by adversary or court." *Int'l Driver Training Inc. v. J-BJRD Inc.*, 202 F. App'x 714, 715-716 (5th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Am. Cyanamid Co. v. McGhee*, 317 F. 2d 295, 297 (5th Cir. 1963) and 8 James W. Moore et al., *Moore's Federal Practice*, § 41.33[2], at 41-48 (Matthew Bender 3d ed. 2006)). A notice of voluntary dismissal under this rule is "self-executing," and no further court action is required. *Id*.

Defendant has filed a Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 12), but he has not filed an answer or a motion for summary judgment. While a court order is not required to dismiss the case, such an

order would promote consistency, clarity, and efficiency. Thus, the undersigned

RECOMMENDS that Judge O'Connor **DISMISS** the case without prejudice.

A copy of these findings, conclusions, and recommendation shall be served on all parties

in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of these findings, conclusions,

and recommendation must file specific written objections within fourteen days after being served

with a copy. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). To be specific, an objection must

identify the particular finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for

the objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge's findings, conclusions, and

recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates

by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file

specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and

legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except

upon grounds of plain error. See Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th

Cir. 1996) (en banc), modified by statute on other grounds, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the

time to file objections to 14 days).

SIGNED on July 24, 2024.

Hal R. Ray, Jr.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2